arXiv:1403.1304v3 [math.AG] 5 Aug 2015

ON CHOW STABILITY FOR ALGEBRAIC CURVES

L. BRAMBILA-PAZ AND H. TORRES-LÓPEZ

Abstract. In the last decades there have been introduced different concepts of stability for projective varieties. In this paper we give a natural and intrinsic criterion of the Chow, and Hilbert, stability for complex irreducible smooth projective curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Namely, if the restriction $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^n$ to C is stable then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable, and hence Hilbert stable. We apply this criterion to describe a smooth open set of the irreducible component $Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}$ of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$ containing the generic smooth Chow-stable curve of genus $g \geq 4$ and degree $d > g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$. Moreover, we describe the quotient stack of such curves. Similar results are obtained for the locus of Hilbert stable curves.

1. Introduction

In [25] Mumford introduced the GIT notion of Chow stability (see Definition 2.1) giving projective moduli spaces of projective varieties. However, in general there is no simple way to know when a variety is Chow stable, mainly, because the Hilbert-Mumford criterion has not been successfully simplified or interpreted for varieties of higher dimension. Many authors have turned to other methods and have introduced different concepts of stability for producing moduli of varieties (see e.g. [2], [19], [31]). Some of them were defined with the aim to understand the relation of the algebro-geometric stability and the existence of special metrics. It was R. Berman who, in [5] (see also [29]), proved that a Fano manifold admitting a Kähler-Einstein metric is K-polystable. The breakthrough result has been achieved recently by Xiu-Xiong Chen, Simon Donalson and Song Sun in [32]. They showed that if a Fano manifold is K-stable then it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. For more information in this direction see [32] and [26] and the bibliography therein.

In this paper, for complex irreducible smooth curves, we prove in Section 2

Theorem 1.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a complex irreducible smooth curve. If the restriction $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^n$ to C is semistable then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow semistable. Moreover, if $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is stable then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable.*

Another way of stating Theorem 1.1, via the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, is:

Date: August 6, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 14H10, 14C05, 14D23.

Key words and phrases. Chow stability, tangent bundle, stability, projective varieties, Hilbert scheme.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a complex irreducible smooth curve. If $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ admits an Hermitian-Einstein metric then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow poly-stable, and Chow stable if $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is irreducible.*

Our theorem provides a sufficient condition for the Chow stability for irreducible smooth curves. The proof of the theorem is not complicated, mainly we use [25, Theorem 4.12] and the relation between the tangent bundle and the syzygy bundle. But our statement is not in the literature and our main contribution is the interpretation of Chow (and Hilbert) stability via the stability of the restriction of the tangent bundle of the projective space to C. One may conjecture that Theorem 1.1 holds also for varieties of higher dimension, that is,

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a complex irreducible smooth variety. If the restriction $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|X}$ of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^n$ to X is $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$-stable then $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable.*

The theorem is still true if we drop the assumption that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. The proof works for any field $K = \bar{K}$ but we will stay with $\mathbb{C}$ since some of our applications work only for curves in $\mathbb{C}$.

Our viewpoint also sheds some new light on the singularities of projective varieties. Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a complex irreducible non-degenerate variety of dimension r and $p \in X$ is a singularity of multiplicity μ_p. In Proposition 2.4 we prove (see Definition 2.2 for the definition of linearly stable)

If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is linearly stable (resp. semistable) then $\mu_p < \frac{\deg X}{n+1-r}$ (resp. $\leq$) for any $p \in X$.

It is well known (see [25, Theorem 4.15]), that if $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 1$ embedded by a complete linear system of degree $d > 2g$ then C is Chow stable. For non complete linear systems and lower degrees the existence of Chow stable (semistable) curves is established by our next result (see Corollary 2.7 and 3.1). First recall that for a general curve of genus $g > 0$, a sharp lower bound for the existence of generated linear series (L, V) of type $(d, n+1)$ is $d \geq g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$. That is, the Brill-Noether number, for line bundles, $\rho(g, d, n+1) := g - (n+1)(n-d+g)$ is non-negative (see Section 3 for the definition of a *Petri* curve).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible smooth curve of genus $g \geq 1$ embedded by the linear series (L, V) of type $(d, n+1)$. The canonical embedding of a non-hyperelliptic curve is Chow stable. If C and (L, V) are general and $\rho(g, d, n+1) \geq 0$ then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow semistable. Moreover, $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable if one of the following conditions*

1. *C is a general curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $gcd(d, n) = 1$;*
2. *C is a curve of genus $g = 1, d \geq n+1$ and $gcd(d, n) = 1$;*
3. *C is a curve of genus $g = 2, d \geq n+2$ with $d \neq 2n$;*

(4) *C is a Petri curve of genus $g \geq 3$ and $n \leq 4$;*
(5) *C is a Petri curve of genus $g \geq 2(n-2)$ and $n \geq 5$;*

is satisfied.

Mainly, Theorem 1.4 summarize the known results on the stability of the syzygy bundle. The breakthrough result in this direction has been achieved recently in [7], where D.C. Butler's Conjecture (see [11, Conjecture 2]) was proved for line bundles on smooth curves in some generality.

Let $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ be the Hilbert scheme of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(t) = dt + (1-g)$. The problem of describing the Hilbert scheme parameterizing embedded curves seems very natural and interesting too. In general, the Hilbert schemes $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ can be quite pathological.

Denote by $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ (respectively $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss}$) the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ containing the generic Chow stable (respectively Chow semistable) curve. The principal significance of Theorem 1.4 is that it allows us to describe an open smooth subscheme of $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ (respectively $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss}$).

Recall that for $d = m(2g-2)$ with $m \geq 5$, Mumford uses the m-canonical embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, K_C^m)^*)$ to construct from $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ the moduli space M_g of smooth curves. In our case we allow different embedding of the same curve and the embedding can be by non-complete linear systems and of degree $g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor \leq d$. Recall that if $d < g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$, there are no general or Petri curves in $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$.

In order to state our results we recall from [1, Chapter XXI] that given the universal family $p_0 : \mathcal{C} \to M_g^0$, of smooth curves parameterized by the fine moduli space M_g^0 of automorphisms-free smooth curves, there exists a relative linear series $\mathcal{G}_d^n(p)$ over M_g^0. In Theorem 4.2 we recall the proof of the existence of $\mathcal{G}_d^n(p_0)$. Since the family $p_0 : \mathcal{C} \to M_g^0$ has no section, there is no universal family. However, we construct a PGL_n-principal bundle (see Theorem 4.2) $\mathcal{B}_d^n \to \mathcal{P}_d^n$ over an open irreducible subscheme $\mathcal{P}_d^n$ of $\mathcal{G}_d^n(p_0)$ with universal properties. We use the PGL_n-principal bundle $\mathcal{B}_d^n$ to define a natural algebraic morphism

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_d^n \longrightarrow Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{P(t)}.$$

We can now formulate our main results (see Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 and Corollary 4.7).

Theorem 1.5. *Let g, d and n be positive integers with $g \geq 4$. For any $d \geq g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$, $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $d > g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$ and if one of the conditions in Theorem 1.4 is satisfied then*

(1) *$Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s} \neq \emptyset$, and is a regular component of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$.*
(2) *$\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_d^n) \subset Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$,*
(3) *$\dim Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s} = 3g - 3 + \rho(g, d, n+1) + n(n+2)$,*
(4) *$Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ is smooth at $\Gamma(z)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{B}_d^n$,*

(5) $\dim Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}/SL(n+1) = 3g-3+\rho(g,d,n+1)$ *and*
(6) $\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_d^n \longrightarrow Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ *is an open immersion.*

Moreover, the quotient stack $[\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_d^n)/SL(n+1)]$ *is a smooth irreducible Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension* $3g-3+\rho(g,d,n+1)$.

The theorem gains in interest if we recall that the birational geometry of moduli spaces has been a topic of research interest since some time ago. In particular, the general problem of understanding birational models of the moduli space M_g has received much attention over the past decade. The goal of the so called Hassett-Keel program (see [18]) is to find the minimal model of the moduli space M_g of curves via the successive constructions of modular birational models of $\overline{M_g}$, and aims to give modular interpretations of certain log canonical models of $\overline{M_g}$. Recall that the most successful approach so far has been to compare these log canonical models to alternate compactifications of $\overline{M_g}$ constructed via GIT on the so-called m-th Hilbert spaces $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$, of m-canonically embedded curves of genus g, for small m and degree d. For a recent account of the theory we refer the reader to [3] and [16]. From the above theorem we have that for low degrees, up to $d \geq g+n-\left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$, the Petri curves are in any $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$, and the bound is sharp. It may be possible that could be easier to describe $[Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}/SL(n+1)]$ rather than the loci of m-canonically embedded curves, but we will not develop this point here.

We finish this article recalling that in [14] Gieseker introduced the concept of Hilbert stability for projective curves and Morrison in [24, Corollary 3.5 (i)], proved that Chow stability implies Hilbert stability. Therefore, we can reformulate the above results in terms of Hilbert stability (see Section 4).

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some of the standard facts on Chow stability and establish the relation between Chow stability and stability of the restriction of the tangent bundle. In Section 3 we summarize the relevant material on the stability of $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ and prove Theorem 1.4. The main results, Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 and Corollary 4.7, are proved in the fourth section.

Notation: Given a vector bundle E over C we denote by d_E the degree, by n_E the rank and by $\mu(E) := \frac{d_E}{n_E}$ the slope of E. For abbreviation, we write $H^i(E)$ instead of $H^i(C,E)$, whenever it is convenient.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank C. Ciliberto, Margarida Melo, J. Alper, A. Beauville and E. Mistretta for very helpful conversations and CONACYT for support. Our special thanks to M. Brion who helped us to make clear some points and specially to improve Theorem 4.6. The first author is a member of the research group VBAC and thanks U. Bhosle, P.E. Newstead and E. Sernesi for their comments and suggestions. She also thanks ICTP, Trieste for the hospitality as well as for the support during the preparation of this work.

2. Chow stability

In this section we recall from [25] the definition of Chow stability and linear stability for projective varieties $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and prove Theorem 1.1.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a non-degenerate irreducible complex projective variety of dimension $r \geq 1$ and degree $d \geq 2$. The Chow form F_X associated to $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is defined as follows (see [25]).

Consider the locus $Y_X \subset \mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n)$ defined by

$$Y_X := \{H \in \mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n) : H \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is well known that Y_X is an irreducible divisor in $\mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n)$ of degree d (in the Plücker coordinates). Moreover, Y_X is the zero set of a section $F_X \in H^0(\mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n), \mathcal{O}(d))$ and F_X is determined up to multiplicative constants. Therefore, it defines a point

$$[F_X^*] \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n), \mathcal{O}(d))^*).$$

We call $[F_X^*]$ *the Chow form* of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. There is a natural action of $SL(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n), \mathcal{O}(d))^*)$ and a GIT concept of $SL(n+1)$-stability (semistability and polystability) for the Chow forms.

Definition 2.1. A projective irreducible variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable if the Chow form $[F_X]$ is $SL(n+1)$-stable. Similarly we define Chow semistability and Chow polystability.

A non-degenerate complex projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ defines a point $[X \subset \mathbb{P}^n]$ in a Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$, with a suitable Hilbert polynomial $P(t)$. Denote by $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ (respectively $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss}$) the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ containing the generic Chow stable (respectively Chow semistable) variety. Recall that the set of stable Chow forms is irreducible and open in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{G}(n-r-1, \mathbb{P}^n), \mathcal{O}(d))^*)$. Our aim is to describe an open set of $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ when $P(t) = dt + 1 - g$.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be as above. Let $(\mathcal{L}, V)$ be the generated linear series on X defining the embedding. That is, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is a line bundle on X and

$$V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$$

is a linear subspace of sections of dimension $n+1$ which generates $\mathcal{L}$ and induces the closed immersion

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L},V} : X \to \mathbb{P}(V^*) = \mathbb{P}^n.$$

Actually, $\mathcal{L} = \phi_{\mathcal{L},V}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Note that the embedding need not be a canonical embedding, neither $(\mathcal{L}, V)$ a complete linear system.

We now introduce the notion of linear stability, following Mumford [25]. Given a linear space $B \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension $n-m-1$ denote by $\pi_B : \mathbb{P}^n - B \to \mathbb{P}^m$ the canonical projection and by $[\pi_B(X)]$ the image cycle of X under π_B. That is, $\pi_B(X)$ with the multiplicity equal to the degree of the π_B over $\pi_B(X)$ if $\dim \pi_B(X) = \dim X$, and 0 otherwise.

Definition 2.2. [25, Definition 2.16]. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is embedded by the linear series $(\mathcal{L}, V)$, we say that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (or $(\mathcal{L}, V)$) is linearly stable (respectively linearly semistable) if for all linear subspaces $W \subset V$

$$\frac{\deg[\pi_B(X)]}{\dim W - r} > \frac{\deg X}{n+1-r} \quad (respectively \geq), \tag{2.1}$$

where $B = \mathbb{P}(AnnW)$ and $\dim \pi_B(X) = \dim X$.

Remark 2.3. Recall from [25, Proposition 2.5] that if we project from a point $p \in X$ then

$$\deg[\pi_p(X)] = \deg X - \mu_p,$$

where μ_p is the multiplicity of $p \in X$.

Here is an elementary property of these concepts.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety of dimension $r \geq 1$ and p a point in X. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is linearly stable (respectively semistable) then $\mu_p < \frac{\deg X}{n+1-r}$ (respectively $\leq$). In particular, if X is a linearly stable (respectively semistable) curve then $\mu_p < (\leq)\frac{\deg X}{n}$.*

Proof. Suppose that V is a vector space of dimension $n+1$ and $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}^n(V)$. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n(V)$ is linearly stable and let $W \subset V$ be a subspace of dimension n. The following inequality follows from (2.1) and Remark 2.3

$$\frac{\deg X - \mu_p}{n-r} > \frac{\deg X}{n+1-r}. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence, from (2.2), $\mu_p < \frac{\deg X}{n+1-r}$, which is the desired conclusion. □

The relation between Chow stability and linear stability for curves is established by the next theorem, which goes back as far as [25].

Theorem 2.5. [25, Theorem 4.12] *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a curve. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is linearly stable (respectively semistable) then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable (respectively Chow semistable).*

In the remainder of this section we assume X to be an irreducible smooth curve C of genus $g > 1$.

Let (L, V) be a generated linear series of type $(d, n+1)$ over C, that is, the degree of L is d and $\dim V = n+1$. Recall that the pull-back, by $\phi_{L,V}$, of the dual of the Euler sequence tensored by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \to M_{L,V} \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \to L \to 0 \tag{2.3}$$

of vector bundles over C. The kernel $M_{L,V}$ of the evaluation map $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \to L$ is called the $(1^{st}-)$*syzygy bundle* of (L, V) (sometimes also a Lazarsfeld or Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundle or the dual span bundle). If $V = H^0(C, L)$, we denote $M_{L,V}$ by M_L and $\phi_{L,V}$ by ϕ_L.

In general, the syzygy bundles can be defined for any variety X and arise in a variety of algebraic and geometric problems. For curves, the stability of $M^*_{L,V}$ has been related to

problems like: Green's Conjecture, the Minimal Resolution Conjecture, computing Koszul cohomology groups, theta-divisors, Brill-Noether theory and coherent system theory. In some sense, they have the information on how complicated X sits in $\mathbb{P}^n$. We use it now to prove Chow stability.

We can now prove Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof is based on the following observation. For complex irreducible smooth curves the stability of $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is equivalent to the stability of the syzygy bundle $M_{L,V}$ since

$$T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C} = M^*_{L,V} \otimes L. \tag{2.4}$$

By assumption, $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is stable, hence $M_{L,V}$ is stable.

Recall that the stability of $M_{L,V}$ gives the following inequality

$$\frac{\deg(F)}{rk\ F} < \frac{\deg(M_{L,V})}{rk\ M_{L,V}}, \tag{2.5}$$

for every proper subbundle $F \subset M_{L,V}$. In particular, for those subbundles that fit into the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & M_{L',W} & \to & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \to & L' & \to & 0, \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & M_{L,V} & \to & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \to & L & \to & 0 \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

where $W \subset V$ is a linear subspace that generates the line bundle L'. Applying (2.5) we deduce that

$$\frac{-\deg(L')}{\dim W - 1} < \frac{-\deg(L)}{n}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\deg C = \deg(L)$ and $\deg[(p_B(C)] = \deg(L')$ when $B = \mathbb{P}(AnnW)$, (2.7) shows that $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is linearly stable, by (2.1), and finally, from Theorem 2.5, that $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable, and this is precisely the assertion of the theorem. Similarly, we obtain Chow semistability.

□

Remark 2.6. [1] The implications: Chow stability implies linear stability or linear stability implies stability of $M_{L,V}$ are not true in general. In [23] was proved the equivalence of linear stability and stability of $M_{L,V}$ for certain bounds given by the Clifford index of the curve C, and in [30] the second author prove that, for general curves, linear stability implies stability of $M_{L,V}$ if $(n-1)codimV < g$.

Corollary 2.7. *If C is a non-hyperelliptic smooth curve then $C \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^*)$ is Chow stable.*

[1] The relation of stability of M_L with linear stability was also proved recently in [4].

Proof. In [27] Paranjape and Ramanan proved that M_{K_C} is stable if C is non-hyperelliptic. Theorem 1.1 now shows that $C \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^*)$ is Chow stable. □

In the next section we summarize the known cases were $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is stable.

3. Stability of $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$

Let C be a complex irreducible smooth curve of genus $g \geq 1$. In this section we summarize without proofs the relevant material in the stability of the syzygy bundles over C. For the proofs we refer the reader to e.g. [20], [8], [6], [4] and [7].

It is well known ([13, Proposition 3.2]) that for smooth irreducible curves and complete linear system, M_L is stable if $d > 2g$ and semistable if $d = 2g$. The reference [12] includes an example to show that these nice results for any curve do not extend beyond $d \geq 2g$. For complete linear systems and general curves of lower degrees, M_L is always semistable (see [28]) and conditions for stability are given in [10, Theorem 2] (see also [8] and [6]). The stability of M_L was proved also for

(1) some line bundles on special curves and on curves computing the Clifford dimension ([9]),
(2) linear systems computing the Clifford index ([9] and [23]),
(3) line bundles with degree bounded with the Clifford index ([12] and [23]).

A breakthrough result has been achieved recently in [7], where the semistability of the syzygy bundle for non complete linear series was proved and stability under some conditions for general and Petri curves. Recall that a smooth curve is called *Petri* if for every line bundle L on C, the cup product map

$$\mu : H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(C, L^* \otimes K_C) \to H^0(C, K_C) \tag{3.1}$$

is injective.

The next corollary is a reformulation of the main results on syzygy bundles in terms of Chow stability for smooth irreducible curves. For a deeper discussion of the stability of the syzygy bundles we refer the reader to [7].

Corollary 3.1. *Let (L, V) be a generated linear series of type $(d, n+1)$ over an irreducible smooth curve C of genus $g \geq 1$. Assume C and (L, V) are general and $d \geq g + n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$. Then $\phi_{L,V}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow semistable. Moreover, $\phi_{L,V}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable if one of the following conditions*

(1) *C is a general curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $gcd(d, n) = 1$;*
(2) *C is a curve of genus $g = 1, d \geq n + 1$ and $gcd(d, n) = 1$;*
(3) *C is a curve of genus $g = 2, d \geq n + 2$ with $d \neq 2n$;*
(4) *C is a Petri curve of genus $g \geq 3$ and $n \leq 4$;*
(5) *C is a Petri curve of genus $g \geq 2(n - 2)$ and $n \geq 5$;*

is satisfied.

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 we only need to show that, under the above hypothesis, the syzygy bundle $M_{L,V}$ is semistable.

The semistability of $M_{L,V}$ was proved in [7, Theorem 5.1] for a general C and (L,V). (see also [28] for complete linear systems). Case (1) follows immediately from the equality $gcd(d,n)=1$.

For $(2),(3)$, the stability of $M_{L,V}$ follows from [20], if C is a curve of genus $g=1, d\geq n+1$ and $gcd(d,n)=1$, and from [8, Proposition 6.5] and [6, Theorem 8.2], if C is a curve of genus $g=2, d\geq n+2$ with $d\neq 2n$.

Let C be a Petri curve of genus $g\geq 3$. The stability of $M_{L,V}$ was proved in [6, §7] when $n\leq 4$ and in [7, Theorem 6.1.] when $n\geq 5$ and $g\geq 2(n-2)$.

From what has already been proved (see Theorem 1.1) it follows that $\phi_{L,V}(C)\subset\mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow stable, which is the desired conclusion. □

Remark 3.2. Note that in the above cases we can have $C\subset\mathbb{P}^n$ with $n\neq d-g$.

4. The Hilbert Scheme

Let $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ be the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(t)=dt+1-g$. Recall that $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ is the irreducible component of $Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{P(t)}$ containing the generic $[C\subset\mathbb{P}^n]\in Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{P(t)}$ such that the Chow form $[F_C]$ is Chow stable. Respectively $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss}$ is the component of Chow semistable curves. A component of $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be regular if its general point corresponds to a smooth irreducible curve $C\subset\mathbb{P}$ with $H^1(C,N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n})=0$.

Fix $P(t)=dt+1-g$. From the Brill-Noether theory we know that there are no general curves in $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ if $d<g+n-\left\lfloor\frac{g}{n+1}\right\rfloor$ and only a finite number (the Castelnuovo number) of general curves if $d=g+n-\left\lfloor\frac{g}{n+1}\right\rfloor$. Moreover, from [9] and [23] and Theorem 1.1 such curves are Chow semistable. In this section we are interested in describing a smooth open set of $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ for $d-g>n-\left\lfloor\frac{g}{n+1}\right\rfloor$. For a treatment of the case $n=d-g$ and $d>>0$ we refer the reader to [16].

We introduce the notions of the basic varieties of the Brill-Noether theory for moving curves, following [1, Chapter XXI].

Let $p:\mathcal{C}\to S$ be a family of smooth curves of genus $g\geq 2$ parameterized by a scheme S. A family of g_d^n's on $p:\mathcal{C}\to S$ parameterized by an S-scheme $f:T\to S$ is a pair $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle over $\mathcal{C}\times_S T$ whose restriction $\mathcal{L}_t$ to each fibre of $\mathcal{C}\times_S T\to T$ has degree d, and $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free subsheaf of $(p_T)_*(\mathcal{L})$ of rank $n+1$ such that, for each $t\in T$, the restriction $\mathcal{V}_t$ is a subspace of $H^0(p^{-1}(t),\mathcal{L}_t)$. Two families $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})$ and $(\mathcal{L}',\mathcal{V}')$ are equivalent if there is a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on T and an isomorphism $k:\mathcal{L}\stackrel{\cong}{\to}\mathcal{L}'\otimes\mathcal{N}$ which induces an isomorphism $k_*:\mathcal{V}\stackrel{\cong}{\to}\mathcal{V}'\otimes\mathcal{N}$.

Remark 4.1. Fix a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]$ be an equivalence class of g^n_d's families on $p:\mathcal{C}\to S$ parameterized by an S-scheme $f:T\to S$. For any $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})\in[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]$ the vector bundle associated to the locally free subsheaf $\mathcal{V}$ defines a PGL_n-principal bundle $\mathcal{B}^n_d(V)$ with fibre $\{\alpha:\mathbb{P}(V_t^*)\to\mathbb{P}^n:\alpha \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$. This PGL_n-principal bundle is independent of which member of $[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]$ we choose to define it. Indeed, for any other $(\mathcal{L}',\mathcal{V}')\in[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]$, $\mathcal{V}\overset{\cong}{\to}\mathcal{V}'\otimes\mathcal{N}$ and hence the PGL_n-principal bundles $\mathcal{B}^n_d(V)$ and $\mathcal{B}^n_d(V')$ are the same. In this way we obtain what will be referred to as the PGL_n-principal bundle of $[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]$, and will be denoted by $g:\mathcal{B}^n_d[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]\to T$.

Suppose now that $p:\mathcal{C}\to S$ admits a section. From [1, Chapter XXI, Theorem 3.13] there exists an S-scheme $h:\mathcal{G}^n_d(p)\to S$ representing the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^n_d(p):Sch & \longrightarrow & Sets \\ T & \longmapsto & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{equivalence classes of families of } g^n_d\text{'s on} \\ p\colon \mathcal{C}\to S \text{ parametrized by } T\end{array}\right\}. \end{array}$$

Set theoretically

$$supp(\mathcal{G}^n_d(p))=\{(s,(L,V)):s\in S,\ (L,V)\in G^n_d(C_s)\},$$

where $G^n_d(C_s)$ is the variety of linear series (L,V) of type $(d,n+1)$ on C_s. Let $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{U})$ be the universal family of g^n_d's on $p:\mathcal{C}\to S$ parameterized by $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p)$. For simplicity of notation, we write $g:\mathcal{B}^n_d(p)\to\mathcal{G}^n_d(p)$ instead of $g:\mathcal{B}^n_d[(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})]\to\mathcal{G}^n_d(p)$, when no confusion can arise. We will represent any element $z\in\mathcal{B}^n_d(p)$ by the triple

$$z:=(C,(L,V),\alpha:\mathbb{P}(V^*)\to\mathbb{P}^n)$$

with $(L,V)\in G^n_d(C)$ where $C=C_s$ and $h(g(z))=s$.

Denote by M_g the moduli space of smooth curves of genus $g>2$ and by M^0_g the moduli space of automorphisms-free smooth curves. We follow [17], or [1], in assuming that M^0_g is a fine moduli space. Thus, there exists a universal family $p_0:\mathcal{C}\to M^0_g$. However, $p_0:\mathcal{C}\to M^0_g$ admits no section, hence, there is no universal family $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{V})$. Recall that the functor $G^n_d(p_0)$ is representable only when the family has a section. Nevertheless, we will now show how to dispense with the assumption on the existence of a global section.

This theorem ensures the existence of the PGL_n-principal bundle $g:\mathcal{B}^n_d(p_0)\to\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$, and may be proved in much the same way as the existence of $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ (see [1, Chapter XXI, §3]]). This construction is adapted from [1, Chapter XXI, §2 and 3] and we give only the main ideas of the proof.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a scheme $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ parameterizing all g^n_d's on the fibres of $p_0:\mathcal{C}\to M^0_g$. Moreover, there exists a PGL_n-principal bundle $g:\mathcal{B}^n_d(p_0)\to\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ with fibre $\{\alpha:\mathbb{P}(V^*)\to\mathbb{P}^n:\alpha\in PGL_n\}$ at $w=(s,(L,V))\in\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$.*

Proof. It suffices to make the following observation. We can cover M^0_g with open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ where a section of $p|_{U_\alpha}:=(p_0)|_{U_\alpha}:\mathcal{C}_{U_\alpha}\to U_\alpha$ exists. Recall that a local Kuranishi family

of smooth curves admits an analytic section. Thus, the functor $G^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ is representable by $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ with $(\mathcal{L}(U_\alpha),\mathcal{U}(U_\alpha))$ as the universal family of g^n_d's on $p|_{U_\alpha} : \mathcal{C}_{U_\alpha} \to U_\alpha$ parameterized by $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$. One then constructs $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ and uses the universal properties to patch together the $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$'s to define an analytic variety $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$. To prove the existence of $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ in the category of schemes, use a Zariski-open neighborhood U_α for each point in M^0_g and a finite étale base change $f : U'_\alpha \to U_\alpha$ such that the family $p'|_{U_\alpha} : f^*(\mathcal{C}_{U_\alpha}) \to U'_\alpha$ has a section. For each U_α there is a natural projection map of the analytic spaces $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p'|_{U'_\alpha}) \to \mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$. From [1, Chapter XXI, Lemma 2.12], the $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$'s have a scheme structure which, using the universal properties, patch together to define a scheme structure in $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$.

What is left is to show that exists the PGL_n-principal bundle. Since the functor $G^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ is representable for the family $p|_{U_\alpha} := (p_0)|_{U_\alpha} : \mathcal{C}_{U_\alpha} \to U_\alpha$, one constructs the PGL_n-principal bundle $\mathcal{B}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha}) \to \mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ over $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p|_{U_\alpha})$ from the universal family $(\mathcal{L}(U_\alpha),\mathcal{U}(U_\alpha))$. From Remark 4.1, are well defined, and one uses the universal properties to patch them together. By a similar argument, the existence of $g : \mathcal{B}^n_d(p_0) \to \mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ in the category of schemes follows from [1, Chapter XXI, Lemma 2.12]. □

An important open sublocus of M^0_g is the one whose points represent Petri curves without automorphisms. It is well known that for Petri curves C, $G^n_d(C)$ is empty if the Brill-Noether number $\rho(g,d,n+1) := g-(n+1)(n-d+g)$ is negative and is irreducible and smooth of dimension $\rho(g,d,n+1)$ if $\rho(g,d,n+1) > 0$. The following Proposition may be proved in much the same way as the above results.

Proposition 4.3. *(*[1, Proposition 5.26 and Corollary 5.30]*)* $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ *is empty if the Brill-Noether number* $\rho(g,d,n+1) = g-(n+1)(n-d+g)$ *is negative and is irreducible and smooth of dimension* $3g-3+\rho$ *if* $\rho(g,d,n+1) > 0$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}^n_d(p_0) \subset \mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ the subscheme of general linear series over Petri curves without automorphisms.

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $g \geq 4$. *If* $d-g > n-\left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$ *then* $\mathcal{P}^n_d(p_0)$ *is open subscheme of* $\mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$. *Moreover,* $\mathcal{P}^n_d(p_0)$ *is irreducible and smooth of dimension* $3g-3+\rho$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Denote by $g : \mathcal{B}^n_d \to \mathcal{P}^n_d(p_0)$ the restriction of the $\mathcal{B}^n_d(p_0) \to \mathcal{G}^n_d(p_0)$ to $\mathcal{P}^n_d(p_0)$. That is, set theoretically,

$$supp(\mathcal{B}^n_d) = \{(s,(L,V),\alpha : \mathbb{P}(V^*) \to \mathbb{P}^n) : s \in S, \text{ and}$$

$(L,V) \in G^n_d(C_s)$ where $\phi_{L,V}$ is an embedding and C_s is Petri without automorphisms$\}$.

The relation between $\mathcal{B}^n_d$ and $Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}$ is established by our next Theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let g, d and n be positive integers such that $g \geq 4$ and $d - g > n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$. There exists a natural injective morphism $\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_d^n \to Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$, such that $\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_d^n) \subset Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss}$. Moreover, $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s} \neq \emptyset$ if one of the conditions in Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.*

Proof. Under the conditions stated above, $\mathcal{B}_d^n \neq \emptyset$. The incidence variety

$$\mathcal{F} := \{(z,t) \in \mathcal{B}_d^n \times \mathbb{P}^n : t \in \alpha(\phi_{L,V}(C)) \text{ if } z = (C, (L,V), \alpha : \mathbb{P}(V^*) \to \mathbb{P}^n)\}$$

and the projection $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{B}_d^n$ defines a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}_d^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ of curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$, parameterized by $\mathcal{B}_d^n$. From this, and the definition of $\mathcal{B}_d^n$, it follows that there exists an injective morphism

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_d^n \to Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n,$$

$$z = (C, (L,V), \alpha : \mathbb{P}(V^*) \to \mathbb{P}^n) \longmapsto [\alpha(\phi_{L,V}(C)) \subset \mathbb{P}^n].$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that, for general (L,V), $\phi_{L,V}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Chow semistable, hence $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),ss} \neq \emptyset$, Similarly, $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s} \neq \emptyset$ under the conditions of Theorem 3.1. From the first part and the definition of $\mathcal{B}_d^n$ we see that $\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_d^n) \subset Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ as claimed. □

This theorem yields information about the structure of $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.5*

(1) $\dim Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s} = 3g - 3 + \rho(g,d,n+1) + n(n+2)$,
(2) $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ *is smooth at* $\Gamma(z)$, *for any* $z \in \mathcal{B}_d^n$ *and*
(3) $\dim Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}/SL(n+1) = \dim \mathcal{P}_d^n = 3g - 3 + \rho(g,d,n+1)$.

Moreover, if $d - g > n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$, $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ is regular component of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_{P(t)}\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_d^n \longrightarrow Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ is an open immersion when $g \geq 4$.

Proof. From Corollary 4.4, $\mathcal{B}_d^n$ is smooth of dimension $3g - 3 + \rho(g,d,n+1) + n(n+2)$ and irreducible if $d - g > n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$.

We claim that $Hilb_{Ch}^{P(t),s}$ is smooth at $\Gamma(z) = [\alpha(\phi_{L,V}(C)) \subset \mathbb{P}^n]$ of dimension $\chi(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n})$, where $N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}$ is the normal bundle of C in $\mathbb{P}^n$. To see this, it suffices to show that $h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = \chi(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n})$ or, equivalently, that $h^1(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$.

Recall that the normal bundle fits into the following exact sequence

$$0 \to TC \to T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C} \to N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n} \to 0 \tag{4.1}$$

of vector bundles over C. Let

$$0 \to H^0(TC) \to H^0(T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) \to H^0(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) \to H^1(TC) \to H^1(T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) \to H^1(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) \to 0 \tag{4.2}$$

be the cohomology sequence of (4.1).

Let us first prove that $h^1(C, T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) = 0$. From (2.4) we have $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C} = M_{L,V}^* \otimes L$. This, and Serre duality, gives

$$h^1(C, T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) = h^0((T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C})^* \otimes K_C) = h^0(M_{L,V} \otimes L^* \otimes K_C). \tag{4.3}$$

Tensor the exact sequence (2.3) with $L^* \otimes K_C$ to get the exact sequence

$$0 \to M_{L,V} \otimes L^* \otimes K_C \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \otimes L^* \otimes K_C \to K_C \to 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Since C is a Petri curve, (3.1) shows that $h^0(C, M_{L,V} \otimes L^* \otimes K_C) = 0$. Therefore, from (4.3), $h^1(C, T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) = 0$. Hence, from this and Riemann-Roch formula we deduce that

$$h^0(C, T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}) = d(n+1) + n(1-g) = \rho(g, d, n+1) + n(n+2). \tag{4.5}$$

Let us now compute the cohomology of the normal bundle. From what has already been proved and the sequence (4.2) we deduce that $H^1(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$, and hence $\chi(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n})$, as claimed.

As $g \geq 3$, we have $H^0(C, TC) = 0$ and $h^1(C, TC) = 3g - 3$. We conclude from (4.2) and (4.5) that

$$h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 3g - 3 + \rho(g, d, n+1) + n(n+2),$$

hence that

$$\dim Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch} = h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 3g - 3 + \rho(g, d, n+1) + n(n+2) = \dim \mathcal{B}^n_d$$

and finally that $Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}$ is smooth at $\Gamma(z)$. This clearly forces

$$\dim Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}/SL(n+1) = 3g - 3 + \rho(g, d, n+1).$$

The morphism $\Gamma : \mathcal{B}^n_d \longrightarrow Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}$ is injective. From [15, Corollaire (4.4.9)] we conclude that $\Gamma : \mathcal{B}^n_d \longrightarrow Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Ch}$ is an open immersion, if $d - g > n - \left\lfloor \frac{g}{n+1} \right\rfloor$, which proves the theorem.

□

Corollary 4.7. *The quotient stack* $[\Gamma(\mathcal{B}^n_d)/SL(n+1)]$ *is a smooth irreducible Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension* $3g - 3 + \rho(g, d, n+1)$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{B}^n_d$ is an atlas and, according to the results of the previous theorem, it is a smooth and irreducible scheme, and this is precisely the assertion of the corollary. □

We finish this section recalling that Morrison in [24, Corollary 3.5 (i)] (see also [21]), proved that Chow stability implies Hilbert stability (see e.g. [14]).

Denote by $Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Hilb}$ the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme $Hilb^{P(t)}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ containing the generic Hilbert stable curve. From what has already been proved, for a complex irreducible smooth curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ we deduce that

- if $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is stable then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hilbert stable.
- If $T\mathbb{P}^n_{|C}$ is irreducible and admits an Hermitian-Einstein metric then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hilbert stable.
- Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1
  (1) $Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Hilb} \neq \emptyset$.
  (2) $\Gamma(\mathcal{B}^n_d) \subset Hilb^{P(t),s}_{Hilb}$.

(3) $Hilb_{Hilb}^{P(t),s}$ has dimension $3g-3+\rho(g,d,n+1)+n(n+2)$ and is smooth at $\Gamma(z)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{B}_d^n$.
(4) $\dim Hilb_{Hilb}^{P(t),s}/SL(n+1) = \dim \mathcal{P}_d^n = 3g-3+\rho(g,d,n+1)$.

References

[1] E. ARBARELLO, M. CORNALBA, and P.A. GRIFFITHS, 'Geometry of algebraic curves, With a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris.', *Volume II, volume 268 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Heidelberg*, (2011).

[2] V. ALEXEEV, 'Higher-dimensional analogues of stable curves', *Proceedings of Madrid ICM, European Math. Soc. Publ. House*, (2006), 515-536.

[3] J. ALPER and D. HYEON, 'GIT construction of log canonical models of $\bar{M}_g$', *Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles, Contemp. Math.,* Amer. Math. Soc.,564, (2012), 87-106.

[4] M. A. BARJA and L. STOPPINO, 'Stability conditions and positivity of invariants of fibrations', *Algebraic and complex geometry, Springer Proc. Math. Stat.,* 71, (2014), 1-40.

[5] ROBERT J. BERMAN, 'K-polystability of Q-Fano varieties admitting Kahler-Einstein metrics', *Inventiones mathematicae, springer Berlin Heidelberg*, (2015), 1-53.

[6] U.N. BHOSLE, L. BRAMBILA-PAZ and P.E. NEWSTEAD, 'On coherent systems of type $(n,d,n+1)$ on Petri curves', *Manuscripta Math.* 126 (2008), 409–441.

[7] U.N. BHOSLE, L. BRAMBILA-PAZ and P.E. NEWSTEAD, 'On linear systems and a conjecture of D. C. Butler', *Internat. J. Math.* 26, no. 2, 1550007 (2015).

[8] L. BRAMBILA-PAZ, 'Non-emptiness of moduli spaces of coherent systems', *Internat. J. Math.* 19, no. 7, (2008), 779–799.

[9] L. BRAMBILA-PAZ and ANGELA ORTEGA, 'Brill-Noether bundles and coherent systems on special curves, Moduli spaces and vector bundles', *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* 359, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (2009), 456-472.

[10] D. C. BUTLER, 'Normal generation of vector bundles over a curve', *J. Differential Geom.* 39, no. 1, (1994), 1–34.

[11] D. C. BUTLER, 'Birational maps of moduli of Brill-Noether pairs, preprint, arXiv:alg-geom/9705009.

[12] C. CAMERE, 'About the stability of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^n$ restricted to a curve', *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser* I 346, (2008), 421-426.

[13] L. EIN and R. LAZARSFELD, 'Stability and restrictions of Picard bundles, with an application to the normal bundles of elliptic curves', in Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.,* 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1992), 149–156.

[14] D. GIESEKER, 'Lectures on moduli of curves', *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*, Volume 69, Bombay, (1982).

[15] GROTHENDIECK, ALEXANDRE, 'Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné) : III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Premiére partie, 'Publications Mathematiques de l'IHÉS 11, (1961), 5–167.

[16] GILBERTO BINI, FABIO FELICI, MARGARIDA MELO and FILIPPO VIVIANI, 'GIT for polarized curves', *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2122. Springer*, (2014).

[17] J. HARRIS and I. MORRISON, 'Moduli of curves', *Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, v. 187, (1998).

[18] B. HASSETT and D. HYEON, 'Log minimal model program for the moduli space of curves: The First flip'*Ann. of Math.* (2), 177 (2013), no. 3, 911-968.

[19] J. KOLLÄR, 'Moduli of varieties of general type', *Handbook of moduli. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 25, Int. Press, Somerville, MA,* Vol. II, (2013), 131-157.

[20] H. LANGE and P. E. NEWSTEAD, 'Coherent systems on elliptic curves', *Internat. J. Math.* 16 (2005), 787–805.

[21] T. MABUCHI, 'Chow-stability and Hilbert-stability in Mumford's Geometric Invariant Theory', *Osaka J. Math.* 45, (2008), 833–846.

[22] E. C. MISTRETTA, 'Stability of line bundle transforms on curves with respect to low codimensional subspaces', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 78, no. 1, (2008), 172–182.
[23] E. C. MISTRETTA and L. STOPPINO, 'Linear series on curves: stability and Clifford index', *Internat. J. Math.* 23, no. 12, (2012).
[24] I. MORRISON, 'Projective Stability of Ruled Surfaces', *Invent. Math.* 56, (1980), 269–304.
[25] D. MUMFORD, 'Stability of projective varieties', *Enseignement Math.* (2) 23, (1977), 39–110.
[26] Y. ODAKA, 'On the GIT stability of polarized varieties a survey', *Proceedings of Kinosaki Algebraic Geometry symposium (Oct, 2010).*
[27] K. PARANJAPE and S. RAMANAN, 'On the canonical ring of a curve', *Algebraic geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata*, (1987), 503–516.
[28] O. SCHNEIDER, 'Stabilité des fibrés $\wedge^p E_L$ et condition de Raynaud', *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) 14, no. 3, (2005), 515–525.
[29] TIAN, G, 'Kahler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. ', *Invent. Math.,* 130 (1997), 1–39.
[30] H. TORRES-LÓPEZ, 'Chow stability on curves '*Ph. D. Thesis,* CIMAT, Gto, Mexico 2015.
[31] J. ROSS and R. THOMAS, 'A study of the Hilbert Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties', *J. Algebraic Geom.* 16, (2007), 201–255.
[32] XIU-XIONG CHEN, SIMON DONALDSON and SONG SUN, 'Kähler-Einstein metrics and stability', *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, no. 8* , (2014), 2119-2125.

CIMAT, Apdo. Postal 402, C.P. 36240. Guanajuato, Gto, México

E-mail address: lebp@cimat.mx

CIMAT, Apdo. Postal 402, C.P. 36240. Guanajuato, Gto, México

E-mail address: hugo@cimat.mx